

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,678	08/01/2003	Orest W. Blaschuk	100086.401C18	4036
500	500 7590 10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
	LLECTUAL PROPE	KAM, CI	KAM, CHIH MIN	
701 FIFTH A SUITE 6300	VE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, V	WA 98104-7092	1653		

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/632,678	BLASCHUK ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	•	Chih-Min Kam	1653		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-8,34-38,53 and 54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-8, 34-38 and 53-54 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application	on Papers				
10) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected to by the Education of the drawing of the held in abeyance. See the cition is required if the drawing of is objection is required if the drawing of the drawing of the cition is required if the drawing of the d	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	,		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment	(s)		·		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Page 2

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

1. In the preliminary amendment filed February 5, 2004, claims 9-33 and 39-52 have been cancelled, and claims 5 and 8 have been amended, thus claims 1-8, 34-38 and 53-54 are pending. There are two claims 53, which have the same wording, one of them should be cancelled.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U. S. C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a cyclic peptide having the formula (Z_1) -Cyclo $\{(X)$ -His-Ala-Val-(Y)}- Z_2 or comprising a dimer or multimer of Cyclic(His-Ala-Val); and a cell adhesion modulating agent comprising the cyclic peptide, classified in class 514, subclass 2, and class 530, subclasses 317.
 - II. Claim 34, drawn to a method for modulating vascular smooth muscle cell migration, comprising contacting a vascular smooth muscle cell with a cell adhesion modulating agent, classified in class 530, subclass 317, class 435, subclass 7.1, and class 424, subclass 9.1.
 - III. Claim 35, drawn to a method for modulating vascular smooth muscle cell apoptosis, comprising contacting a vascular smooth muscle cell with a cell adhesion modulating agent, classified in class 530, subclass 317, class 435, subclass 7.1, and class 424, subclass 9.1.
 - IV. Claim 36, drawn to a method for preventing the formation or advance of restinosis, comprising contacting a cadherin expressing cell with a cell adhesion modulating agent, classified in class 530, subclass 317, class 435, subclass 7.1, and class 424, subclass 9.1.

Art Unit: 1653

V. Claims 37 and 38, drawn to a method for maintaining vessel luminal area following vascular trauma, or a method for treating a traumatized vessel, comprising contacting a cadherin expressing cell with a cell adhesion modulating agent, classified in class 530, subclass 317, class 435, subclass 7.1, and class 424, subclass 9.1.

VI. Claims 53 and 54, drawn to an implantable medical device or material linked to, coated with or having interspersed within, a cell adhesion modulating agent, classified in class 530, subclass 317, and class 604, subclasses 891.1.

Should Group VI be elected, applicant is required to select one specific medical device from claim 54. Each medical device which has different function and different use is patentably distinct. This is not a species election.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The product of Invention I and the méthods of Inventions II-V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the methods of Inventions II-V are alternative processes of the use of the product of Invention I.

The product of Invention I is patentably distinct from the product of Invention VI because they are physically and functionally different entities, e.g., the product of Invention I is a cyclic peptide, while the product of Invention VI is a medical device.

Art Unit: 1653

The methods of Inventions II-V are distinct from each other because these methods have different method steps, use different material in the process, and produce different results.

The methods of Inventions II-V are patentably distinct from the product of Invention VI because the product of Invention VI can be neither made by nor used in the methods of Inventions II-V.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their recognized divergent subject matter and different classification, and because Inventions I-VI require different searches but are not co-extensive, examination of these distinct inventions would pose a serious burden on the examiner and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance

Art Unit: 1653

with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached at 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

CMK

October 2, 2004